

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Bliss Page  
Appl. No. : 10/065,709  
Filed : 11/12/02  
Title : Dental Hygiene Holder  
Grp./A.U. : 3728  
Examiner : Jimmy Foster  
Docket No. : 001-055

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 05 2004

OFFICIAL

Honorable Commissioner of for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Amendment B

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed 2004, January 7:

**REMARKS – General**

**Argument for Patentability over Section 102 and Section 103 Objections**

Although Merkel, 3,344,930 does disclose a holder/device a tray portion for holding a toothpaste tube including opening for receiving toothbrushes and dental devices. Levitt, 5,629,527, discloses a timing means that is a hourglass type. Peralta discloses a crank means for a dental device. Karanmanolis, 4,285,864, also discloses a cranking means with a motor. However, none of these inventions have the unique layout and arrangement of applicant's invention. The applicant's invention has the cranking means centrally located with wells for the timing means and the dental floss on either side of the cranking means with the ledge for the dental devices/toothbrushes. This is a unique and non-obvious layout and combination of dental tools that is not disclosed in prior art. This makes the invention unique and non-obvious in reference to the patents listed above. The applicant layout is truly unique and worthy of a patent.

**Record of Phone Interview**

Examiner Foster and Attorney Furr discussed prior art list by Examiner Foster in his July 29, 2003 Office Action in order to get around the rejections. The objections were discussed and reviewed. It was discussed the many of the items disclosed in the invention was known the unique arrangement was not known and unique. Examiner Foster was extremely helpful during the course of this office action.

**Conditional Request For Constructive Assistance**

Applicant has amended the specification and claims of this application so that they are proper, definite, and define novel structure which is also unobvious. If, for any reason, this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully requests the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to M.P.E.P § 707.03(d) and § 707.07(j) in order that the undersigned can place this application in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings.